

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/11/2003

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. BERG-2462/C 1903 12/13/1999 DONALD K. HARPER, JR. 09/460,007 7590 . 09/11/2003 JONATHAN M WALDMAN ESQ **EXAMINER** WOODCOCK WASHBURN KURTZ NGUYEN, TRUC T MACKIEWICZ & NORRIS LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE 46TH FLOOR ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		UN UN
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/460,007	HARPER, JR., DONALD K.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Truc T. T. Nguyen	2833
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspond nce address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 (October 2002 .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims	_	
4) Claim(s) 22-31 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-31</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 		
Attachment(s)	•	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/460,007

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 22-26 and 28-31, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Walker (US 5,788,510 and Examiner's Attachment from previous office action).

Regarding claims 22-26 and 28, Walker disclose an electrical connector (20), comprising: a dielectric housing (30) including a peripheral wall surrounding a rising above an array of contact receiving passageways (56) and having a perimeter edge (32);

a plurality of separate surface mount contacts (58);

area of reduced rigidity (E1) in portion of the peripheral wall (see Figure 1).

Walker silently about the reduced rigidity area at which stress is built up is for preventing warpage problem of the housing. However, this feature is seen to be an inherent teaching of that device since a means of the removed portion of the peripheral wall is disclosed, and it is apparent that some type of warpage prevention must be presented in order for the device to function as intended.

Regarding claims 29-31, the method claims are deemed inherited.

Application/Control Number: 09/460,007

Art Unit: 2833

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker (US 5,788,510).

Walker substantially disclose the claimed invention except the mount contacts comprise solder balls. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to not having a solder ball in the connector, since it has been held that omission of an element and its function in a combination where the remaining elements perform the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Karlon*, 136 USPQ 184.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments regarding the claims 22-31 are most since the prior art has been applied differently to the claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Truc T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-4004. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/460,007

Art Unit: 2833

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Austin Bradley, can be reached on (703) 308-2319. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

T. Nguyen

September 2, 2003